Case: 1:13-cv-09239 Document #: 31 Filed: 10/30/14 Page 1 of 27 PageID #:97

OCT 0 7 2014

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Victor WATKins	10/30/2014 THOMAS G. BRUTON CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
(Enter above the full name of the plaintiff or plaintiffs in this action) vs. Anthony Martin STACH (INDIVIDUALLA PACITY)	Case No: 13 CVD 9239 (To be supplied by the Clerk of this Court) The Court of this Co
COMPLAINT UNDER TH U.S. Code (state, county, or	E CONSTITUTION ("BIVENS" ACTION), TITLE
OTHER (cite statute, if known	de (federal defendants)

FILING." FOLLOW THESE INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY.

I.	Plainti	ff(s):
	A.	Name: VICTO WATERS
	B.	List all aliases:
	C.	Prisoner identification number: 3-37732
	D.	Place of present confinement: Centralia C.C
	E.	Address: P.O. Box 7711 Centralia II OS
	numbe	re is more than one plaintiff, then each plaintiff must list his or her name, aliases, I.D. r, place of confinement, and current address according to the above format on a te sheet of paper.)
II.	(In A l	dant(s): below, place the full name of the first defendant in the first blank, his or her official on in the second blank, and his or her place of employment in the third blank. Space additional defendants is provided in B and C .)
	A.	Defendant: Martin
		Title: Police Officer
		Place of Employment: Chicago Police Dept.
	B.	Defendant:
		Title:
		Place of Employment:
	C.	Defendant:
		Title:
		Place of Employment:

(If you have more than three defendants, then all additional defendants must be listed according to the above format on a separate sheet of paper.)

III.

Name of case and docket number:
Approximate date of filing lawsuit:
List all plaintiffs (if you had co-plaintiffs), including any aliases:
List all defendants:
Court in which the lawsuit was filed (if federal court, name the district; if st name the county):
Name of judge to whom case was assigned:
Basic claim made:
Disposition of this case (for example: Was the case dismissed? Was it as it still pending?):

IF YOU HAVE FILED MORE THAN ONE LAWSUIT, THEN YOU MUST DESCRIBE THE ADDITIONAL LAWSUITS ON ANOTHER PIECE OF PAPER, USING THIS SAME FORMAT. REGARDLESS OF HOW MANY CASES YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY FILED, YOU WILL NOT BE EXCUSED FROM FILLING OUT THIS SECTION COMPLETELY, AND FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF YOUR CASE. COPLAINTIFFS MUST ALSO LIST ALL CASES THEY HAVE FILED.

IV. Statement of Claim:

State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved, including names, dates, and places. **Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes.** If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. (Use as much space as you need. Attach extra sheets if necessary.)

On 4-8-13 Defendant Anthony Martin # 14313 in a Closed A.A meeting At 6225 SDrexAl in a Senior Citizen Bld. And Arrested glantiff Fortel Burglary that never was reported ACCEST WACCAST, eye Witness of proable this Alleced Burglary was Defendants presence nor was the AllegeD On 8-4-11 Donita nurse filo report for Theft of over\$500 431613 (reportational because there Allegelly was some son items intact car. DN Allecelly etendant used this illegally Arrest plantiff for Bungland with ately no avidence that a "Succlay investigation in progress. Defendant made t Bespite being told by Detective/Investigater the Theft "Do not Arrest Sole levised 9/2007 on the of this DNA information

Defendant never even attempted to contact to Alleged Viction to see if she wanted to pursue Charges. Defendant made himself Judge, Jury and Executioner in this willful and WANTEN Abuse of his Authorities. Defendant mocked, laugh.) And reducated plantiff while in Custody Plantiff Was convicted and sentence to 12 years IDUC And is currently appealing his conviction. Plantiff Suffers from Nightmares, Deppression, Crying and head ades as a result of Defendants Actions. Plantiff Seek I million in Compensatory Danaces 1.5 million in punative Danage For False Atrest, False impresoment, Due Process Violation, and Intentopial Infliction of Emotional Distress. Defendant Violates State Law and Federal rights yet as 14 promote. On 900 9-19-13 under oath Defendant Admitted to most of these Allegation Defendant Didn't even have a Confirmatory Swab sample of plantiff DNA to prove the Plantiff was the Belson in theft investigation. Plantiff WAS Affected or suspicion and speculation

V. Relief:

State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite no cases or statutes.

I million in Compensative Danages

I son this in Functive Danages

And Deny and Dispositive motions

basel on them being a Pointiess

VI. The plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury.

YES NO

CERTIFICATION

By signing this Complaint, I certify that the facts stated in this Complaint are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that if this certification is not correct, I may be subject to sanctions by the Court.

Case: 1:13-cv-09239 Document #: 31 Filed: 10/30/14 Page 7 of 27 PageID #:103

CHIC O POLICE DEPARTMENT ARREST REPORT

3510 S. Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60653 (For use by Chicago Police Department Personnel Only) CPD-11. 420C(REV. 6/30)

FINAL APPROVAL

CB #: 18632848

IR #: 883968

YD #:

RD #: HT431613 EVENT #: 1121611406

ARREST REPORTING Name: WATKINS, Victor L

Res: 520 E 47th St, #108

Chicago, IL 60653

DOB: 19 August 1970

AGE: 42 years POB: Illinois

STID: 32587270236W - ILLINOIS

ARMED WITH Unarmed

Beat: 221

Black 6' 02" 175 lbs **Brown Eyes** Black Hair Short Hair Style **Dark Complexion**

Male



Arrest Date: 08 April

2013 10:10

Location: 6225 S Drexel Ave Chicago, IL 60637

090 - Apartment

Holding Facility: District 008 Lockup

Resisted Arrest? No

TRR Completed? No Total No Arrested: 1

Beat: 313

Dependent Children? No

Co-Arrests

Assoc Cases

DCFS Ward? No

Offense As Cited 720 ILCS 5.0/19-1-A

BURGLARY Class 2 - Type F



Felony Review: Approved

08 APR 2013 18:25

Hardman,

State's Attorneys's Office

NO NARCOTICS RECOVERED

Page 1 of 3 powered by: CLEAR Technology

Case: 1:13-cv-09239 Document #: 31 Filed: 10/30/14 Page 8 of 27 PageID #:104

Chicago Police Department - ARREST Report

CB #: 18632848 WATKINS, Victor

ARREST REPORTING

NO WARRANT IDENTIFIED

VICTIM AND COMPLAINANT N-OFFENDER(S) Name Female Injured? No Deceased? No Re Beat: 215 Black

PROPERTI

NO ARRESTEE VEHICLE INFORMATION ENTERED

Confiscated Properties:

All confiscated properties are recorded in the e-Track System. This system can be queried by the inventory number to retrieve all official court documents related to evidence and/or recovered properties.

PROPERTIES INFORMATION FOR WATKINS, Victor, NOT AVAILABLE IN THE AUTOMATED ARREST SYSTEM.

(The facts for probable cause to arrest AND to substantiate the charges include, but are not limited to, the following) NCIDENT NARRATIVE EVENT #04430

ON TODAYS DATE AND TIME THE OFFENDER WAS LOCATED AT 6225 S DREXAL WHERE HE WAS PLACED INTO CUSTODY FOR BURGLARY AND TRANSPORTED INTO THE 008 DISTRICT FOR PROCESSING. ON 04-AUG-2011 THE VICTIMS CAR WAS BROKEN INTO AND PROPERTY VALUED AT OVER 1200 USC WAS TAKEN WITHOUT THE VICTIMS PERMISSION. THE OFFENDERS DNA (BLOOD) WAS RECOVERED FROM THE VICTIMS VEHICLE WHICH WAS REPORTED UNDER ISP CASE #C-11-34811 AND DNA ASSOCIATION #15730

NAME CHECK AND INVESTIGATIVE ALERT CHECK CLEAR NOT IN TRAP OR GIPP

PRISONER PROPERTY INVENTORIED UNDER #12875530

Desired Court Date:

15 April 2013

Branch: 48-4 155 W 51ST ST - Room

Court Sgt Handle? No

Initial Court Date: 09 April 2013

Branch: CBC-1 2600 S CALIFORNIA - Room100

Docket #:

BOND INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE

Print Generated By: O BRIEN, Rory



Case: 1:13-cv-09239 Document #: 31 Filed: 10/30/14 Page 9 of 27 PageID #:105

Chicago Police Department - ARREST Report

CB #: 18632848

WATKINS, Victor

ATTESTING OFFICER:

ARREST REPORTING

I hereby declare and affirm, under penalty of perjury, that the facts stated herein are accurate to the best of my

knowledge, information and/or belief.

Attesting Officer:

NG PERSONNE

#14313

#11143

MARTIN, A

08 APR 2013 11:09

ARRESTING OFFICER(S):

1st Arresting Officer:
2nd Arresting Officer:

#14313 MARTIN, A J (

Beat 0806F

0806F

Approving supervisor

Approval of Probable Cause: #1982

POPP, K A

SIKORSKI, J F

08 APR 2013 11:19

102

K.

va. S

Print Generated By: O BRIEN, Ron

Page 3 of 3 powered by: CLEAR Technology CHICAGO POLICE DE, STMENT HT431613 t: 31 Filed: 10/30/14 Page 10 of 27 Page D **EVENT #:** 1121611406 3510 S. Michigan Avenue, _yo, Illinois 60653

ASSIGNED TO FEED.

DENI

IUCR: 0810 - Theft - Over \$500

Occurrence 352 E 46th St Location: Chicago IL

(For use by Chicago Police Department Personnel Only) CPD-11.388(6/03)-C)

304 - Street

MCTOWE Hately taleat

Occurrence Date: 04 August 2011 02:00 - 04 August 2011 07:00

Beat: 0222

Unit Assigned: 0202

RO Arrival Date: 04 August 2011 14:48

Offenders: 1

CASR229

8198103

Name: NURSE, Donita

Res:

Beat: 0222

Black

Beat: 5100

Female

DOB: Age:

Birth Place

Case ID:

DLN:

Sobriety: Sober

ីរ៉ាតែម៉ូលី ស្រាស់ ខេត្ត ស្រាស់ ខេត្ត ស្រាស់ ស្រាស់ ស្រាស់ ខេត្ត ស្រាស់ ខេត្ត ស្រាស់ ខេត្ត ស្រាស់ ខេត្ត ស្រាស់

Residence Phone:

Available Time

Subjected #1 Name: UNK

iface), Crounningerein (Reiftelicks Gigle

(Victim)

NURSE, Donita

is a Unknown of (Offender)

UNK

IN SUMMARY VICTIM STATED THAT ON THE ABOVE DATE AND TIME SHE WAS INFORMED BY HER NEIGHBOR THE HER PASSENGER SIDE DOOR WAS OPEN. FURTHER INVESTIGATION REVEALED THAT SOMEONE HAD BROKEN INTO HER AUTO, A 2001 HYUNDAI ACCENT PLATE #Y361008, AND TOOK HER PROPERTY FROM SAME. VICTIM OBSERVED HER HAIR CARE PRODUCTS WERE MISSING AS WELL AS HER MEDICATION FOR LUPUS. THE VICTIM ALSO OBSERVED BLOOD ON THE PASSENGER SIDE DOOR HANDLE. R/O REQUESTED AN E.T. BT5813 FOR SAME. TAKEN WAS A CASE OF HAIR CARE PRODUCTS VALUED AT 1000USC AND VICADEN PILLS VALUED AT 300USC. NO OTHER INFO AT THIS TIME.

Print Generated By: FREEMAN, DANIEL (PC0R615) Page 1 of 2

08-APR-2013 10:2F



Chicago Police Depart: 130 Ev 109 200 1 Repurnent #: 31 Filed: 10/30/14 Page 11 of 27 PageID #: 107 RD #: HT431613

							421012
	Star No	Emp No	Name	User	Date	Unit	Beat
Approving Supervisor	855	#52957	CLIFFORD, John, P	(PC0E950)	04 Aug 2011 20:27		Dout
Detective/Investigator	20814	#31733	FREEMAN, Daniel, R	(PC0R615)	09 Aug 2011 12:33	610	
Detective/Investigator	20814	#31733	FREEMAN, Daniel, R	(PC0R615)	27 Mar 2013 11:44	610	
Detective/Investigator	20160	#54658	MATEO JR, Evelio	(PC0J828)	27 Mar 2013 09:44	606	
Reporting Officer	19889	#55099 .	JOYNER, Deborah, P	(PC0J848)	04 Aug 2011 17:53	002	0202

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT CASE SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

HT431613

are io.	0130103	
pid:	9945042	CASR33

3510 S. Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60653
(For use by Chicago Police - Bureau of Investigative Services Personnel Only)

Last Offense Classification/Re-Classification	IUCR Code	Original Offense Classification			IUCR Code	
THEFT / Over \$500	0810	THEFT / Over \$500			0810	
Address of Occurrence	Beat of Occur	No of Victims	No of O	ffenders 1	No of Arrested	SCR No
	215	1		1	0	
Location Type	Location Code	Secondary Localic	on .	•		Hate Crime
Street *	304					No
Date of Occurrence	Unit Assigned	Date RO Arrived		Fire Related?	Gang Related?	Domestic Relate
04-AUG-2011 02:00 - 04-AUG-2011 07:00	0202	04-AUG-201	1 14:48	NO	NO	NO

Reporting Officer	Star No	Approving Supervisor	Star No	Primary Delective Assigned	Star No
MATEO JR, Evelio	20160	NOWAKOWSKI, John	1394	FREEMAN, Daniel	20814
Date Submitted		Date Approved		Assignment Type	1
27-MAR-2013 09:54		02-APR-2013 16:18		FIELD	

THIS IS A FIELD INVESTIGATION LAB REPORT REPORT

VICTIM(S):

Female / Black DOB: **RES: BIRTH**

SOBRIETY: Sober

OTHER COMMUNICATIONS:

Residence Phone:

DLN/ID:

SUSPECT(S)

UNK

RELATIONSHIP OF VICTIM TO OFFENDER:

- Unknown

TYPE: Individual

LOCATION OF

INCIDENT:

Chicago IL 304 - Street

04-AUG-2011 02:00 - 04-AUG-2011 07:00

DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT:

Theft From Auto Non-Acc

METHOD CODE(S):

Dna

CAU CODE(S): PERSONNEL ASSIGNED:

Detective/Investigator

MATEO JR, Evelio

Page:

20160

Printed on: 08-MAY-2014 07:29

1 of 3

Printed By: O BRIEN, Rory

HT431613
DETECTIVE SUP. APPROVAL COMPLETE

FREEMAN, Daniel R

20814

FREEMAN, Daniel R

20814

Reporting Officer

JOYNER, Deborah P

19889

BEAT: 0202

CRIME CODE SUMMARY:

0810 - Theft - Over \$500

IUCR ASSOCIATIONS:

0810 - Theft - Over \$500

UNK

(Victim)

(Suspect)

REPORT DISTRIBUTIONS:

No Distribution

INVESTIGATION:

THIS IS A DNA ASSOCIATION REPORT SUBMITTED BY THE DNA UNIT.

Date / Time assigned: 27-MAR-13 0944 hrs.

Victim:

Subject of DNA Association: WATKINS, Victor

A DNA Association notification was received from Kathleen KOZAK, CODIS administrator for the Illinois State Police Forensic Science Center at Chicago, regarding DNA association #15730 ISP Case #C11-34811

Illinois State Police Forensic Science Center at Chicago 1941 West Roosevelt Rd. Chicago, IL 60608-1229 (312) 433-8000

Association #15730

From: KAREN ABBINANTI

Date: 3/26/2013

Re: CODIS Convicted Offender Association

This information is being provided for investigative purposes only. You should not make an arrest solely on the basis of this information.

Note: An additional DNA standard (buccal swab or blood sample) from the convicted offender is necessary for confirmatory forensic analysis. Please complete an Evidence Submission Form and submit the standard to the CPD Division of Forensic Services. Once a standard has been submitted, a laboratory report with statistics will be forthcoming.

Printed on: 08-MAY-2014 07:29 Page: 2 of 3 Printed By: O BRIEN, Rory

HT431613DETECTIVE SUP. APPROVAL COMPLETE

Investigating Officer: Det. Freeman

Submitting Agency: CPD UNIT 610

RD/Agency Number: HT431613

Lab Case Number: C11-34811

Victim(s) Name:

Suspect(s) Name: n/a

Offense: Theft

Offender name: Victor Watkins

DOB: 08/19/1970

SID#: IL28879670

IL IDOC#: B37732

Additional Information: Male profile identified on swabs from interior passenger side door (inv# 12383947) matches convicted offender.

If you have any questions or require additional information please contact Forensic Scientist and CODIS Administrator Kathleen Kozak at ext. 2270.

R/D has no knowledge of this case and is only submitting this report to document the association provided to us from the ISP Forensic Science Center at Chicago.

Det E. Mateo #20160

Printed on: 08-MAY-2014 07:29 Page: 3 of 3 Printed By: O BRIEN, Rory

1	OPENING STATEMENT
2	ВУ
3	THE DEFENDANT:
4	Your Honor, the basis of my challenge is
5	this, um, I was picked up and charged with a burglary.
6	Now, according to what I have in front of you right
7	now, there was never a burglary report made for me, and
8	I have no the CODIS hit for my information I've
9	gathered has no legal basis. So I'm challenging my
10	arrest based on probable cause.
11	And on top of that, the Illinois State
12	Police information that they arrested me on clearly
13	says that they should not arrest me based on that
14	information by itself, and that's grounds for my
15	challenge, and the statement that they got, I never
16	made.
17	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Call your witness.
18	THE DEFENDANT: Officer Martin.
19	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.
20	(Witness duly sworn.)
21	THE WITNESS: I do.
22	MS. OLSON: Judge, would you like the defendant at
23	counsel table?
24	THE COURT: Wherever he wants to go.

```
(Whereupon there was a short pause
1
 2
                           in the proceedings)
 3
                           ANTHONY MARTIN,
      a witness herein, called on behalf of the petitioner,
 4
      having been first duly sworn, was examined and
 5
      testified as follows:
 6
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
7
 8
                                 ΒY
 9
                          THE DEFENDANT:
10
                Officer Martin, can you state for the
           Q
11
      record --
12
           THE COURT: You don't have to sit there, you can
13
      come out here if you want (Indicating). You just got
14
      to speak up because it's a big room.
15
           THE DEFENDANT O Officer Martin, can you state
16
      for the record your name and spell your last name and
17
      your star number and your assignment?
18
                Officer Anthony Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n, Star No.
           Α
      14313, currently assigned to the 8th District, Chicago
19
20
      Police Department.
21
                Okay, you're assigned to the 8th District?
22
                Yes, sir.
           Α
                Um, on April 8, 2013, where were you at
23
24
      approximately 9:30?
```

- 1 A I believe I was in the 8th District station.
- 2 Q And what were you doing in the 8th District
- 3 station?
- A Ah, working on a burglary case.
- 5 Q A burglary case. And who -- did anyone file
- 6 a burglary charge in this burglary case?
- 7 A I don't understand what you're asking me.
- 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that question?
- 9 THE WITNESS: No, sir.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay, rephrase the question.
- 11 THE DEFENDANT Q You were working on a burglary
- 12 case, that means there was case report for a burglary,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- Okay, who was the victim in the case report
- 16 that you were investigating a burglary for?
- 17 A I don't recall her name.
- 18 Q Now, after -- while you were investigating an
- 19 alleged burglary case, what did you do next?
- 20 A Detective Freeman (phonetic) gave me a
- 21 report, a DNA report that came back from the scene of
- 22 the burglary, that was recovered from the vehicle in
- 23 question. With that information, your name was on that
- 24 report as the DNA in question. With that, I ran your

- 1 name through our computer system and learned that you
- 2 were a victim in a possible robbery report -- a robbery
- 3 case I believe. You had listed a phone number, and I
- 4 proceeded to call you.
- 5 Q And what did you tell me when you called?
- A I told you I was investigating your case, and
- 7 that I had some pictures that I wanted to show you, at
- 8 which time you said you didn't want to proceed. I then
- 9 asked you if it would be okay if I came out and you
- 10 sign a refusal to prosecute. And also I wanted to show
- 11 you some pictures to help me go further in that case.
- 12 Q And then what happened?
- 13 A You agreed, you gave me your location, and
- 14 myself and Officer Campbell went to that location where
- 15 you were, I believe at an A A meeting, and at that
- 16 point and time, you were placed into custody.
- 17 Q So you're saying that you located me based
- 18 on -- I was placed in custody because I -- you got
- information that I was at an A A meeting, and I gave
- 20 you the information because I was supposed to sign some
- 21 papers based on a robbery report that I made, correct?
- 22 A No, you were placed in custody because your
- 23 DNA came back from a scene of a burglary.
- 24 Q What type of -- what was the defendant doing

- 1 at the time when you arrested him?
- 2 A I believe you were at an alcohol anonymous
- 3 meeting.
- 4 Q So the defendant was doing nothing illegal at
- 5 the time of the arrest?
- 6 A Not to my knowledge.
- 7 Q Okay. Now, you came from the 8th District to
- 8 make a felony arrest without a warrant?
- 9 THE COURT: He wants to know if you had a warrant.
- 10 THE WITNESS: No, I did not have a warrant.
- 11 THE COURT: He didn't have a warrant.
- THE DEFENDANT Q Okay, you came from the 8th
- 13 District to the 3rd District to make a defendant arrest
- 14 without a warrant?
- 15 A Correct.
- Okay, now this alleged crime happened in the
- 17 2nd District?
- 18 A I'm sorry.
- 19 Q This alleged crime happened at 46th and King
- 20 Drive, correct?
- 21 A I don't recall the address.
- 22 Q I have an incident report that says the
- investigation was a theft, not a burglary, can you
- 24 explain to me why the defendant was arrested for a

- 1 burglary?
- 2 A The case reporting purposes for the police
- department, if someone breaks into a car, it's a theft
- 4 case report. Charging is different than case
- 5 reporting. For breaking into a car, you could be
- 6 charged with a burglary.
- 7 Q Now, you said at the time of the arrest, the
- 8 defendant wasn't doing anything wrong. The arrest
- 9 report makes no mention also of you contacting the
- victim to make the victim -- for the victim to press
- 11 charges, at what point did you contact the victim to
- 12 press charges?
- MS. BHANDARI: Objection to the form of the
- 14 question.
- THE COURT: Do you understand the question. He
- 16 wants to know if you ever talked to the victim --
- 17 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- THE COURT: What's the answer?
- 19 THE WITNESS: I didn't talk to the victim, that
- would be Detective Freeman's job.
- 21 THE DEFENDANT Q But you're the arresting
- 22 officer.
- A Yes.
- 24 Q So you arrested the defendant without

- 1 contacting the victim?
- 2 A Yes, you were placed into custody based on
- 3 DNA results from the scene.
- 4 Q Okay --
- 5 A The victim was contacted by Detective
- 6 Freeman.
- 7 Q Okay. Now, I have some information here --
- 8 Give me one second, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Sure.
- 10 (Whereupon there was a short pause
- in the proceedings)
- THE DEFENDANT Q So you arrested the defendant
- based on a CODIS hit, correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- Okay, is that from the Illinois State Police?
- 16 A I believe so.
- 17 Q Okay, is this what the basis of your arrest
- 18 was?
- 19 A I can't really see that.
- THE COURT: You can walk up and hand it to him.
- 21 That's defense's number one.
- What is that, officer?
- THE WITNESS: That is a supplementary report done
- 24 by, I would assume the detective.

- 1 THE COURT: What do you want to know about the
- 2 supplementary report?
- 3 THE DEFENDANT Q Is this the basis of your
- 4 arrest?
- 5 A No, this is done after the fact. The CODIS
- 6 hit is a separate report by itself.
- 7 THE COURT: He didn't write the report. You got
- 8 to ask him about (Inaudible).
- 9 THE DEFENDANT: Okay, the question I'm asking,
- 10 your Honor, is this report that I have is from the
- 11 Illinois State. It says it is CODIS hit, this is the
- 12 information that they gathered --
- 13 THE COURT: He said he got information from the
- 14 Illinois State Police. You can ask him about the
- 15 information he received. That's not relevant what
- 16 somebody else put in a police report.
- 17 THE DEFENDANT: Okay, the reason I asked this,
- 18 your Honor, is if the basis of this arrest was based on
- 19 a miscommunication from this CODIS hit, it says clearly
- 20 on this report that this is for investigative purposes
- only, and they should not have made an arrest based
- 22 solely on this information.
- 23 THE COURT: You can ask him about the information
- 24 he received, not what somebody else said.

- 1 THE DEFENDANT Q Okay, what information did you
- 2 receive from the CODIS hit?
- 3 A That you were identified through the CODIS
- 4 hit as leaving DNA at the scene of a burglary.
- O Okay, you said the information that the CODIS
- 6 hit says that the information that I left DNA at the
- 7 scene of a burglary?
- 8 A I believe it came back with your name and
- 9 your IR number, yes.
- 10 Q But I'm looking at the CODIS hit from the
- 11 supplementary report that says it makes no mention of a
- 12 burglary?
- 13 A That's not the CODIS report, that's a
- 14 supplementary report that's done by a detective.
- 15 That's different from a CODIS hit.
- 16 Q So you're saying this is not the basis of
- 17 your arrest -- of the arrest?
- 18 A That's a report generated by a detective,
- 19 that's not the CODIS report.
- THE COURT: Post arrest?
- 21 THE WITNESS: That I don't -- I don't know if it
- 22 was post --
- THE DEFENDANT: The reason I'm bringing this up,
- 24 your Honor, I'm looking at the arrest report here, and

- 1 the arrest report, it says, it says were --
- 2 MS. OLSON: Objection, Judge.
- 3 THE COURT: You don't get to read from the arrest
- 4 report.
- 5 THE DEFENDANT: Okay, in the arrest report, the
- 6 official arrest report, it says that the defendant was
- 7 arrested --
- 8 MS. OLSON: Objection.
- 9 THE COURT: Did you understand what I just said.
- 10 If you have a question of this witness, ask the
- 11 witness, don't tell me what the report says.
- 12 THE DEFENDANT Q Okay, in your arrest report, why
- did you say that you arrested the defendant based on
- 14 what?
- 15 A Based on DNA results, and I believe I put the
- 16 report number in there or the CODIS hit number in the
- 17 report.
- Okay, is the case number for this CODIS hit,
- 19 C 11 34811, and DNA Association No. 15730?
- 20 A I don't know it offhand. I'd have to look at
- 21 it.
- THE DEFENDANT: Can I show him.
- THE COURT: Did you put that in your report?
- 24 THE WITNESS: I did write the narrative --

```
THE COURT: Is that your report?
1
           THE WITNESS: If it's the arrest report, then yes.
 2
           THE COURT: Well, then show him to see if it's the
3
     arrest report. That's defendant's number two.
4
                     Is that the arrest report?
 5
           THE WITNESS: Yes.
 6
           THE COURT: Did you write that information?
7
           THE WITNESS: Yes.
           THE COURT: Okay, so it is what it is, he wrote
 9
10
     it.
           THE DEFENDANT Q Okay, now based on this arrest
11
      report, these numbers that is on this arose report and
12
     CODIS hit matches the same thing on this information
13
     right here. So the basis of your arrest was based on
14
15
     this information from the CODIS from the Illinois State
      Police, correct?
16
           THE COURT: Now, you're holding another piece of
17
      paper that you haven't identified. He's already said
18
      to you that's not the CODIS hit.
19
           THE DEFENDANT: Okay, your Honor, bare with me,
20
21
      please because I'm holding this case report, this
      supplementary case report, and the numbers on this
22
      supplementary case report from the forensic -- from the
23
      Illinois State Police Forensic Science Center has the
24
```

```
1
      exact same numbers on this arrest report, but --
 2
           THE COURT: Okay.
           THE DEFENDANT: But on this supplementary report,
 3
      it says clearly do not arrest based on this
 4
 5
      information.
           MS. OLSON: Objection.
 6
           THE COURT: He didn't write that report. You
7
 8
      can't ask him about what somebody else reported.
 9
      you want to know what the Illinois State Police has to
      say about that, you need to call the Illinois State
10
      Police has to say about that, you need to call the
11
12
      Illinois State Police, not him, he works for Chicago.
           THE DEFENDANT: Okay, that's what I'm getting
13
14
      confused about, your Honor.
15
           THE COURT: Well, there's nothing to be confused
16
      about. He arrested you, he told you why. Because you
17
      don't like it is not a reason to keep asking him the
18
      same question. If you think there's some reason why he
      shouldn't have arrested you based on the CODIS hit,
19
20
      then you need to call the Illinois State Police who
      wrote that or the detective, but not him.
21
22
           THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
23
           THE COURT: He didn't write any of that.
```

Did you?

24

```
1 THE WITNESS: No.
```

- 2 THE COURT: There you go.
- 3 THE DEFENDANT Q The basis of your arrest was a
- 4 police communication or a CODIS hit from police
- 5 communication?
- A You were brought in because of your DNA and
- 7 CODIS hit, yes.
- 8 THE COURT: It's clear why he arrested you. I
- 9 have no problem understanding why he arrested you.
- THE DEFENDANT Q Okay, how did you find out about
- 11 this CODIS hit?
- 12 A Through Detective Freeman.
- 13 Q So through police communication, right?
- 14 A Through a detective, yes.
- THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, can I cite case law on
- 16 that.
- 17 THE COURT: On what?
- THE DEFENDANT: On what he just said.
- 19 THE COURT: No, that's for argument.
- 20 Are you done asking him questions?
- 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- THE COURT: Do you have any questions of this
- 23 officer?
- MS. BHANDARI: No, Judge.